

## Incident Report for **A100/2018-00017306**

Primary Trooper	Tpr. Kenneth Minnes #8045	Created	February 28, 2023 10:14 a
Unit	Port Norris - Squad 2		

### Stop Information (CAD)

Location	670 / Ferry		
Stop Start	January 7, 2018 6:24 pm	Duration (Minutes)	7
Stop End	January 7, 2018 6:30 pm	Video Used	Yes
Reason for Stop	Non-Moving Violation	State (Plate)	NJ
Stop Statute	39:3-66 Maintenance Illum Device		
Participating Troopers			

### Driver Information

Race	White	Date of Birth	June 13, 1971
Gender	Female	Age	46

### Outcome Information

Outcome	Statute	Statute Name	Action ID
WARNING - Non-Moving Violation	39:3-66	Maintenance Of Illuminating Devices	

### Post Stop Information (RMS)

There is currently no information available in this section of the report			
---	--	--	--

# Incident Report for **A100/2018-00017306**

Primary Trooper	Tpr. Kenneth Minnes #8045	Created	February 28, 2023 10:14 a
Unit	Port Norris - Squad 2		

## Video Review (Standard)

Date of Review	January 11, 2018	Creation Type	ICV - Incident Specific
Video Number (ICV)	A100-2018-768A-DIVR (Viewed)	Purpose	Regular
Video Number (BWC)		Action Taken	No Further Action
Reviewer	SSgt. Robert Wharton #6829		
Questions Answered - No	Questions Answered - N/A	Questions Answered - Unknown	

# Incident Report for **A100/2018-00017306**

Primary Trooper

Tpr. Kenneth Minnes #8045

Created

February 28, 2023 10:14 a

Unit

Port Norris - Squad 2

1: Was the ICV (Audio and Video) activated prior to contact, and was the contact properly called into the communications center?

2: Did the trooper exercise caution while employing proper and safe tactics throughout the contact?

3: With stops - Agency identified, driver's credentials requested, and reason for the stop given?

4: Did the trooper provide the driver's DOB and/or any required corrections either via interm radio transmissions or the Mobile CAD (MCAD)?

5: Was a supervisor on scene during the contact?

6: If a Frisk occurred, did the trooper reasonably articulate his suspicion in all corresponding reports?

7: If a Search occurred, was it properly captured as an incident transaction in (CAD), including any required notifications?

8: If a Consent Request occurred, did the trooper and the supervisor adhere to the protocols as set forth in the division's policy?

9: If a Canine Request was made, did the trooper and supervisor adhere to the protocols as set forth in the division's policy?

10: If probable cause existed that marijuana was present, did the trooper inquire whether the subject was in possession of medical marijuana prior to taking law enforcement action or did exigent tactical considerations preclude the trooper from dispelling CUMMA prior to arrest and were those considerations explained properly in associated RMS report?

11: Did the trooper provide Miranda Warning as required by law and protocols set forth in the division's policy?

12: Was the trooper courteous and respectful to the occupants of the vehicle throughout the contact?

13: Was the contact properly documented on the Electronic Patrol Chart or on the Daily Activity Patrol Log (Form S.P. 179) and do the times on the Daily Activity Patrol Log reasonably reflect the CAD abstract?

14: If the stop was associated with an Investigation Report or a Motor Vehicle Stop Report (MVSr), were they completed correctly?

1: Yes  
2: Yes  
3: Yes  
4: Yes  
5: No  
6: Not Applicable  
7: Not Applicable  
8: Not Applicable  
9: Not Applicable  
10: Not Applicable  
11: Not Applicable  
12: Yes  
13: Yes  
14: Not Applicable  
15: Yes  
16: Not Applicable  
17: Not Applicable  
18: Not Applicable  
19: Yes  
20: Yes  
21: Yes

## Incident Report for **A100/2018-00017306**

Primary Trooper

Tpr. Kenneth Minnes #8045

Created

February 28, 2023 10:14 a

Unit

Port Norris - Squad 2

15: Did the ICV audio and video remain activated throughout the entire contact?

16: If not, was it a result of a ICV malfunction?

17: If a malfunction existed, were the pre-operational tests conducted in accordance with division policy?

18: If an individual objected to the conduct of any member during the contact, did the member properly advise the individual of the right to make a complaint and provide the citizen compliment/complaint form, S.P. 251A-B?

19: Was the contact properly cleared with the Communications Center?

20: Was the member's observed conduct free from any indication of prohibited racial or ethnic discrimination?

21: Was the questioning of the motorist or occupants reasonably related to the reason of the initial stop and/or suspicions illegal activity developed during the initial questioning?

### Narrative

On this date, Tpr. Minnes conducts a motor vehicle stop for a non moving violation. He approaches the vehicle and properly identifies himself. He requests the drivers credentials and gives the reason for the stop. He subsequently issues the driver a warning for the violation. Tpr. Minnes was professional and courteous throughout this contact. No issues were noted.

## Incident Report for **A100/2018-00017306**

Primary Trooper	Tpr. Kenneth Minnes #8045	Created	February 28, 2023 10:14 a
Unit	Port Norris - Squad 2		

### Video Review (Management)

Date of Review	January 31, 2023	Creation Option	ICV - Incident Specific
Tape Number (ICV)	12345 (Viewed)	Purpose	Regular
Tape Number (BWC)		Action Taken	No Further Action
Reviewer	SFC Fabian Gerke #6300		
Questions Answered Differently	Management Review Answers		

# Incident Report for **A100/2018-00017306**

Primary Trooper	Tpr. Kenneth Minnes #8045	Created	February 28, 2023 10:14 a
Unit	Port Norris - Squad 2		

1: Was the ICV (Audio and Video) activated prior to contact, and was the contact properly called into the communications center?

2: Did the trooper exercise caution while employing proper and safe tactics throughout the contact?

3: With stops - Agency identified, driver's credentials requested, and reason for the stop given?

4: Did the trooper provide the driver's DOB and/or any required corrections either via interm radio transmissions or the Mobile CAD (MCAD)?

5: Was a supervisor on scene during the contact?

6: If a Frisk occurred, did the trooper reasonably articulate his suspicion in all corresponding reports?

7: If a Search occurred, was it properly captured as an incident transaction in (CAD), including any required notifications?

8: If a Consent Request occurred, did the trooper and the supervisor adhere to the protocols as set forth in the division's policy?

9: If a Canine Request was made, did the trooper and supervisor adhere to the protocols as set forth in the division's policy?

10: If probable cause existed that marijuana was present, did the trooper inquire whether the subject was in possession of medical marijuana prior to taking law enforcement action or did exigent tactical considerations preclude the trooper from dispelling CUMMA prior to arrest and were those considerations explained properly in associated RMS report?

11: Did the trooper provide Miranda Warning as required by law and protocols set forth in the division's policy?

12: Was the trooper courteous and respectful to the occupants of the vehicle throughout the contact?

13: Was the contact properly documented on the Electronic Patrol Chart or on the Daily Activity Patrol Log (Form S.P. 179) and do the times on the Daily Activity Patrol Log reasonably reflect the CAD abstract?

14: If the stop was associated with an Investigation Report or a Motor Vehicle Stop Report (MVSr), were they completed correctly?

15: Did the ICV audio and video remain activated throughout the entire contact?

16: If not, was it a result of a ICV malfunction?

17: If a malfunction existed, were the pre-operational tests conducted in accordance with division policy?

18: If an individual objected to the conduct of any member during the contact, did the member properly advise the individual of the right to make a complaint and provide the citizen compliment/complaint form, S.P. 251A-B?

19: Was the contact properly cleared with the Communications Center?

20: Was the member's observed conduct free from any indication of prohibited racial or ethnic discrimination?

21: Was the questioning of the motorist or occupants reasonably related to the reason of the initial stop and/or suspicions illegal activity developed during the initial questioning?

1: Yes

2: No [Yes]

3: Not Applicable [Yes]

4: Unknown [Yes]

5: Yes [No]

6: No [Not Applicable]

7: Not Applicable

8: Unknown [Not Applicable]

9: Yes [Not Applicable]

10: No [Not Applicable]

11: Not Applicable

12: Unknown [Yes]

13: Yes

14: No [Not Applicable]

15: Not Applicable [Yes]

16: Unknown [Not Applicable]

17: Yes [Not Applicable]

18: No [Not Applicable]

19: Not Applicable [Yes]

20: Unknown [Yes]

21: Yes

Narrative

system test

## Incident Report for **A100/2018-00017306**

Primary Trooper

Tpr. Kenneth Minnes #8045

Created

February 28, 2023 10:14 a

Unit

Port Norris - Squad 2

### Video Review (QARAU)

There is currently no information available in this section of the report

### Commendation PN

There is currently no information available in this section of the report

### Counseling PN

There is currently no information available in this section of the report

### PIDR

There is currently no information available in this section of the report

### Compliment

There is currently no information available in this section of the report

### Intervention

There is currently no information available in this section of the report

### Misconduct

There is currently no information available in this section of the report

### Journal

There is currently no information available in this section of the report

### UOF History

There is currently no information available in this section of the report

### UOF Review

There is currently no information available in this section of the report